

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Uttlesford Further Electoral review 2012/13

Proposed scheme of revised District wards to be submitted to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England

Name of ward	No. of Cllrs	Area of ward	2012 Electors and % variance	2018 Electors and % variance	Areas to be transferred	Commentary/explanation
Ashdon	1	Ashdon Hadstock Little Walden Sewards End Total Variance	673 270 187 422 1552 -3	736 293 201 459 1689 -5	Add Little Walden to existing Ashdon ward to balance numbers. Little Walden is part of Saffron Walden parish and its transfer to Ashdon ward will necessitate the creation of a parish ward identical to the transferred area.	The existing ward of Ashdon has a variance of -16% at 2018 figures and therefore needs an infusion of 200-300 electors from elsewhere. Little Walden has been identified as the most appropriate area to be moved as it is a rural community separated from the town of Saffron Walden of which parish it forms a part. Because of its geographical position next to the border with Cambridgeshire in the north-east of the district, the only alternative solution would be to move part of the parish of Radwinter instead but this would have the effect of breaking up the Sampfords ward. The transfer to Ashdon ward of the Little Walden area means that a separate Saffron Walden parish ward of Little Walden must be created.

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Saffron Walden Castle	2	Saffron Walden Castle Total Variance	3226 3226 +1	3504 3504 -1	<p>Transfer the small settlement of Little Walden to Ashdon ward (see above).</p> <p>Block of streets to be transferred from Audley ward: Castle Street (south) Castle Hill (west) Church Street (north) Museum Street High Street (part) (east) Electors moved 2012 = 148</p> <p>Block of streets to be transferred to Shire ward: Ashdon Road (south) Radwinter Road (part) (north) Hollyhock Road (east) Shepherds Way Everitt Road Bradley Mews Hamilton Mews Nightingale Mews Carnation Drive Elizabeth Way (west) Electors moved 2012 = 474</p>	<p>The entitlement to councillors in Saffron Walden is reduced from nine to seven. The proposed way of dealing with this change is to base two member wards on the existing Audley and Castle wards and retain Shire ward as a three member ward by moving blocks of electors from the other two town wards.</p> <p>There is also a proposed exchange of electors between Audley and Castle wards.</p> <p>The simplest way of achieving this adjustment of wards in Saffron Walden is to move blocks of electors between wards as described in the previous column.</p>
Saffron Walden Audley	2	Saffron Walden Audley Total Variance	3535 3535 +11	3627 3627 +2	<p>Block of streets transferred to Castle ward (as shown above): Electors moved 2012 = 148</p>	<p>See comments under Castle ward above.</p>

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Saffron Walden Audley cont.					Block of streets transferred to Shire ward: Debden Road (part) (east) Mount Pleasant Road (north) South Road (part) (west) West Road (south) Westfields Electors moved 2012 = 201	
Saffron Walden Shire	3	Saffron Walden Shire Total Variance	4993 4993 +4	5313 5313 +0	Block of streets moved from Audley ward (as shown under Castle ward above) Electors moved 2012 = 201 Block of streets moved from Castle ward (as shown above) Electors moved 2012 = 474	See comments under Castle ward above.
Chesterford and Littlebury	1	Great Chesterford Little Chesterford Littlebury Village Total Variance	1154 174 436 1764 +10	1302 180 450 1932 +9	This proposal involves the transfer to The Chesterfords ward of the Littlebury Village portion of Littlebury parish. There are already separate polling districts of Littlebury Village and Littlebury Green and Strethall so the division between wards can be based broadly on these units. However, a new boundary has been defined involving the transfer of 19 electors at Audley End from Littlebury Village to Littlebury	The Chesterfords ward is 15% below electoral equality on 2018 figures. Because of its location on the county boundary with Essex the only options to increase the number of electors are from either of Saffron Walden, Hadstock or Littlebury parishes. There are no known community or direct transport links between Hadstock and the Chesterfords area and the option of combining the ward with part of the town of Saffron Walden is considered to be neither feasible nor desirable.

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

<p>Chesterford and Littlebury cont.</p>					<p>Green.</p> <p>This proposal will involve the creation of new parish wards in Littlebury.</p>	<p>A journey by road from Great Chesterford to Hadstock would involve travelling via either Linton or Saffron Walden.</p> <p>The only possible solution therefore is to add part of Littlebury parish to the ward to achieve electoral equality. Littlebury parish has two distinct and separate communities of Littlebury Village and Littlebury Green/Catmere End. The proposal is to add the whole of the adjoining settlement of Littlebury village to the ward as described.</p> <p>This will result in the creation of parish wards in Littlebury.</p> <p>Although this proposal will result in an imbalance between the proposed wards of Chesterford and Littlebury and Elmdon and Wenden, the option of dividing Littlebury parish more evenly would not respect the integrity of the separate settlements of Littlebury Village and Littlebury Green as it would involve placing an artificial boundary line through the village of Littlebury.</p>
---	--	--	--	--	---	---

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Chesterford and Littlebury cont.						The proposals will result in the demise of the district ward of Littlebury which is proposed to be divided between different new wards.
Elmdon and Wenden	1	<p>Chrishall Elmdon Wenden Lofts Strethall Littlebury Green Wendens Ambo Total Variance</p>	<p>463 445 61 19 235 345 1568 -2</p>	<p>488 471 65 20 242 355 1641 -7</p>	<p>It is proposed to move the parish of Langley to Clavering ward and add Littlebury Green, Strethall and Wendens Ambo from the existing Littlebury ward.</p> <p>Note the proposed adjustment between Littlebury Village and Littlebury Green (see under Chesterford and Littlebury above).</p>	<p>The existing Wenden Lofts ward has a negative variance of -24% at 2018 figures and needs an injection of around 400 electors.</p> <p>The proposal is to add Littlebury Green, Strethall and Wendens Ambo from the Littlebury ward, and to transfer Langley to Clavering ward. Langley has more natural affinity with Clavering and will help to balance electoral numbers in that ward.</p>
Clavering	1	<p>Arkesden Clavering Langley Total Variance</p>	<p>292 1015 303 1610 +1</p>	<p>301 1031 321 1653 -7</p>	<p>Add Arkesden from Littlebury ward and Langley from Wenden Lofts ward. The small parish of Wicken Bonhunt is proposed to be transferred to Newport ward.</p>	<p>Clavering ward has an imbalance of -31% on 2018 figures and needs an injection of around 500 electors to balance numbers.</p> <p>The suggested solution is to move the parish of Langley from Wenden Lofts ward, and Arkesden from Littlebury ward at the same time as transferring Wicken Bonhunt to Newport ward.</p>

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Newport	2	Newport Quendon and Rickling Widdington Wicken Bonhunt Total Variance	1868 490 395 189 2942 -8	2315 520 405 191 3431 -3	Add the small parish of Wicken Bonhunt to the existing two member ward.	<p>On 2018 figures, Newport ward has an electoral variance of –9%. It is proposed to boost the electorate in this ward by adding the tiny parish of Wicken Bonhunt.</p> <p>Newport ward already contains the adjoining parishes of Quendon and Rickling and Widdington and the inclusion of Wicken Bonhunt as well seems a logical solution. The two parishes were paired in the ward existing prior to 2003.</p>
Debden and Wimbish	1	Debden Wimbish (Carver Barracks) Wimbish Village Total Variance	611 637 482 1730 +8	667 693 523 1883 +6	The proposed ward is unchanged.	<p>The existing Wimbish and Debden ward has an electoral variance of +6%.</p> <p>The ward contains the whole of the service base of Carver Barracks, home to 33 Engineer Regiment and therefore includes many service voters. This is already recognised by the division of Wimbish into separate Carver Barracks and Village polling districts (but not parish wards).</p> <p>It is not possible to predict with any certainty the continuing level of registration of service personnel at Carver Barracks but there are no known plans for the regiment to</p>

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Debden and Wimbish cont.						<p>move, or for the barracks to be discontinued as a service base. There are strong links between 33 Engineer Regiment and Uttlesford as the regiment has been granted the freedom of the district.</p> <p>Given these factors there is no need for the existing ward to change.</p>
The Sampfords	1	Great Sampford Little Sampford Hempstead Little Bardfield Radwinter Total Variance	476 223 344 213 482 1738 +9	515 245 377 223 525 1885 +6	Add the parish of Little Bardfield from Thaxted ward.	<p>The existing ward of The Sampfords has an electoral variance of -6% at 2018 figures. There is strictly no need to change this ward at all but the inclusion of the small parish of Little Bardfield assists the definition of a revised Thaxted ward. Little Bardfield is located on the border with Braintree district and there are direct road links between the parish and Great and Little Sampford to the north.</p>
Thaxted and the Eastons	2	Duton Hill Great Easton (Village) Little Easton (Village) Lindsell Thaxted	309 425 345 209 2251	319 454 370 224 2368	Add Great Easton Village, Tilty and the bulk of Little Easton parish from The Eastons ward, and the small parish of Lindsell from Stebbing ward. At the same time, Little Bardfield is proposed to be transferred to	<p>Thaxted ward has an electoral imbalance of -18% and The Eastons ward an imbalance of -25% (both on 2018 figures) and so significant adjustments are needed.</p>

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Thaxted and the Eastons cont.		Tilty Total Variance	78 3617 +13	83 3818 +8	<p>The Sampfords ward.</p> <p>There is also a proposal to divide Little Easton parish (0 electors in 2012 but an estimated 219 electors in 2018) to enable electors at the Woodlands Park sector 4 development to be included in a revised Great Dunmow North ward (see also comments under Great Dunmow North ward); this will involve the division of Little Easton parish into a village ward and a Woodlands Park ward. Eventually, Little Easton Parish Council would like to see a community governance review result in an adjustment of boundaries to place the sector 4 site wholly within Great Dunmow parish.</p>	<p>It is proposed effectively to merge the majority of these two wards together to form a new two member ward, together with the small parish of Lindsell from the Stebbing ward. The proposal enables the Duton Hill and Great Easton Village areas of Great Easton parish to be reunited in the same district ward, and for Tilty from the grouped parish council of Great Easton and Tilty to be included also. Duton Hill was separated from Great Easton village at the time of the last district warding review in 2003 and the detached community of Duton Hill has been included in the Thaxted ward since then.</p> <p>It is difficult to see otherwise how it would have been possible to find the number of electors required to retain Thaxted and The Eastons as separate wards so an effective merger is the most practical solution.</p> <p>Broxted from the existing Eastons ward is proposed to be included in an enlarged and revised Takeley ward.</p>
-------------------------------	--	------------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	--	---

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Thaxted and the Eastons cont.						Note also the proposal (see previous column) to include part of Little Easton parish in a revised Great Dunmow North ward.
Elsenham and Henham	2	Elsenham Henham Total Variance	1874 960 2834 -11	2617 978 3595 +1	Remove the tiny parish of Chickney to the revised Takeley ward.	<p>A number of options have been examined during the course of this review to retain the present linkage between the parishes of Elsenham and Henham. At a late stage of the review, a proposal to form an enlarged and revised Takeley ward, electing three members, has enabled a proposal to be put forward for this ward to remain unchanged save only for the transfer to Takeley of the small parish of Chickney.</p> <p>In terms purely of electoral balance, no changes at all are needed to this ward, on the basis that appropriate arrangements are possible elsewhere.</p> <p>However, in terms of community identities, roughly half of the small number of electors in Chickney are located on or near to Chickney Road adjacent to the village of Henham, and the remaining half are located nearest to the</p>

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Elsenham and Henham cont.						settlement of Church End, Broxted, and so can be placed in either of the proposed new wards.
Stort Valley	1	Berden Farnham Manuden Ugley Total Variance	370 327 512 376 1585 -1	380 353 525 396 1654 -7	Add the parish of Ugley from the existing Stansted North ward.	<p>The electoral variance of the existing Stort Valley ward is -29% and so a significant addition of electors is needed from elsewhere.</p> <p>The existing ward consists of three small parishes located tightly against the district and county border with Hertfordshire immediately north of the large town of Bishop's Stortford (in East Herts) and north-west of Stansted.</p> <p>The only options to change this ward are therefore from the east and north. The Council has decided that it would not be suitable to mix together electors from Stort Valley with the large settlement of Stansted and so the only option is to look elsewhere for a partner from a rural parish to the north or east.</p> <p>The nearby parish of Birchanger (south of Stansted village) could not be joined to this ward as it is physically detached from the Stort Valley parishes. In addition the</p>

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Stort Valley cont.						<p>creation of the proposed Stansted wards would not be feasible without the addition of the electors from Birchanger.</p> <p>The proposed solution is to add to the ward the parish of Ugley located mainly east of the B1383 road north of Stansted. The combination of these parishes is not ideal given other circumstances because there is no direct road link between Ugley and the Stort Valley parishes other than via either Stansted or Rickling (to the north). However, as stated above, other options are limited.</p>
Stansted North	2	Stansted North Total Variance	3360 3360 +5	3491 3491 -2	<p>Transfer the parish of Ugley from Stansted North to a revised Stort Valley ward (see comments above).</p> <p>Transfer the following block of streets from Stansted South to Stansted North: Bentfield Road (part) (south) Cannons Mead Blythwood Gardens Silver Street (part) (east/west) Chapel Hill (south) Station Road Waterside</p>	<p>The entitlement to councillors in Stansted in 2018 under a 39 member scheme is 2.95 excluding the Forest Hall Park area and 3.5 including it.</p> <p>Prior to 1 April 2012, the large residential development known as Forest Hall Park was divided between the parishes of Birchanger and Stansted Mountfitchet and the existing ward boundary between Birchanger and Stansted South still reflects that division.</p>

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

<p>Stansted North cont.</p>					<p>Water Lane Sunnyside Woodfield Close Woodfields Woodfield Terrace Recreation Ground Spencer Close Sanders Close Windmill Close Millside Millfields Mill Hill Brook Road Electors moved 2012 = 1048</p>	<p>In July 2011, following a community governance review, the Council made an order adjusting the boundary between the two parishes so as to place the whole of the Forest Hall Park area into Stansted parish (and into Stansted Mountfitchet South parish ward) with effect from April 2012.</p> <p>At the same time, the Council applied to the Electoral Commission to make a consequential change to district ward boundaries so as to transfer the whole of the area moved between parishes into Stansted South ward. That request has been held in abeyance pending the outcome of the Further Electoral Review. The Council's proposals have been framed on the assumption that the whole of the Forest Hall Park area will be included in a Stansted based ward regardless of any other considerations.</p> <p>However, the entitlement to councillors of the Stansted parish area of 3.5 means that at least one other parish must be added to</p>
---------------------------------	--	--	--	--	---	--

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

<p>Stansted North cont.</p>						<p>allow the present arrangement of two wards of two members each to continue.</p> <p>The existing Stansted North ward includes the parish of Ugley. A continuation of this arrangement would enable two wards to be formed with a minus electoral variance of around 7% in each ward. However, as seen above, the Council's submission proposes the addition of Ugley to a revised Stort Valley ward.</p> <p>The parish of Birchanger presently forms its own discrete ward. It is acknowledged that this arrangement cannot continue since the parish, as amended by the community governance order already mentioned, is forecast to include only 730 electors in 2018, or only 41% of the electors required to elect a councillor.</p> <p>It is inevitable that Birchanger must be joined to another parish or parishes to form a new ward. For the reasons outlined under Stort Valley ward above it is impracticable to join Birchanger to</p>
---------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

<p>Stansted North cont.</p>						<p>that ward. It is also not considered feasible to join Birchanger to parishes to the south such as one or both of the Hallingburys or Hatfield Broad Oak, because of the geographical position of these parishes on the opposite side of the A120 and the Birchanger Services M11/A120 interchange.</p> <p>The addition of Birchanger to Stansted produces enough electors to create two wards of two members each which fits neatly with the patterns of settlement in the area. There is, for historic reasons, a strong association between Birchanger and the Forest Hall Park and Stoney Common areas of Stansted as the parish boundary extended as far as Stoney Common as recently as the 1980s.</p> <p>The proposal is to bring about adjustments between North and South wards by transferring from South to North ward a block of electors in the central part of Stansted village south of Chapel Hill and Bentfield Road and on either side of Silver Street.</p>
---------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Stansted South	2	Stansted South Forest Hall Park Birchanger Total Variance	1555 617 715 2887 -10	1753 963 730 3446 -3	<p>Add the parish of Birchanger to Stansted South ward, as well as that part of the Forest Hall Park development transferred to Stansted parish under the 2011 community governance order as described under Stansted North above.</p> <p>To balance numbers between the two wards. It is proposed to move a block of streets to Stansted North ward (see under Stansted North above).</p>	See detailed comments above explaining the proposal to add Birchanger and the whole of Forest Hall Park to this ward.
Takeley	3	Bush End Broxted Chickney Little Canfield Mole Hill Green Takeley Priors Green Takeley Village Total Variance	100 389 35 718 103 754 1903 4002 -17	111 419 35 760 110 1190 2171 4796 -10	<p>This proposal links together Broxted from the existing ward of The Eastons, Chickney from the Elsenham and Henham ward, and the Bush End area of Hatfield Broad Oak parish from the Broad Oak and the Hallingburys ward, together with the bulk of the existing Takeley and the Canfields ward (everything other than Great Canfield).</p>	<p>The original proposal of the Electoral Working Group linked together Elsenham and the bulk of Takeley parish in a three member ward, and linked the whole of the Priors Green development area with the parish of Little Canfield in a separate single member ward.</p> <p>This was always seen as a compromise solution as both Elsenham and Takeley, either with or without the Priors Green area, are the wrong size to form wards in their own right, without the addition of other areas.</p> <p>All other things being equal,</p>

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Takeley cont.						<p>members of the EWG had expressed a wish to retain the Elsenham/Henham linkage, but only if other wards could be fitted in around it. No consideration had been given at this stage to the formation of a three member ward as there is no precedent for this arrangement in a rural Uttlesford ward.</p> <p>However, it became apparent that there was widespread dissatisfaction with the proposed ward arrangement and, at a late stage of the process, other solutions were explored.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Council proposes a three member ward based on the parishes of Takeley and Little Canfield, including the whole of the Priors Green residential site which over spills both parishes, and including the parishes of Broxton and Chickney to the north. The electorate of these combined areas at 2018 figures falls short of the range of + or – 10% of electoral equality generally regarded as the tolerance level for the formation of new wards and so the addition is</p>
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	---

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Takeley cont.						<p>proposed of the Bush End area of Hatfield Broad Oak parish. This brings the forecast electorate just within the range of 10% variation from the average councillor/elector ratio.</p> <p>While, viewed on a map this proposal looks odd, it is considered to meet the test of community identities as it enables the whole of the Takeley/Little Canfield area to be retained in the same ward, and links the small and scattered community of Bush End to the closely adjoining area of The Street in Takeley parish in the vicinity of Hatfield Forest.</p> <p>Bush End is already a separate ward of the parish of Hatfield Broad Oak and so it will not be necessary to create a new parish ward. The proposed southern ward boundary follows very largely the existing parish and polling district boundary.</p> <p>The Council considers it important, for reasons of maintaining community links, to retain Takeley and Little Canfield together in the</p>
---------------	--	--	--	--	--	---

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Takeley cont.						same ward, including the whole of the Priors Green residential estate area.
Great Dunmow North	2	Great Dunmow North Little Easton (Woodlands Pk) Total Variance	2974 0 2974 -7	3594 219 3813 +7	Create a new Little Easton parish ward incorporating the Woodlands Park sector 4 development site and transfer the new ward area to Great Dunmow North ward (see also comments under Thaxted and the Eastons ward above). There are as yet no electors in this area but there are forecast to be 219 electors on the sector 4 site by 2018.	The entitlement to councillors in Great Dunmow on 2018 figures is 4.87 and so two wards can be formed consisting of two and three members respectively. The formation of two revised wards contained wholly within Great Dunmow would require the transfer of some 350 electors from North to South ward. However, it is proposed to include the parish of Barnston within a Great Dunmow South ward thus allowing the formation of two wards based on the existing north/south division. The proposed creation of a new ward of High Easter and the Rodings (see under later commentary) would entail the break-up of the existing Barnston and High Easter ward. The geographical position of Barnston on the eastern boundary of the district immediately south-east of Great Dunmow limits the options

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

<p>Great Dunmow North cont.</p>						<p>for joining the parish with adjoining parishes. It is not considered practicable to link Barnston with Little Dunmow or other parishes to the north because of the lack of direct transport or other links between these areas.</p> <p>Barnston is the nearest village community to Great Dunmow linked via the B1008 Chelmsford Road either side of the A120. The two parishes were linked in a ward prior to 2003 and it is now proposed to reactivate that grouping.</p> <p>The addition of Barnston to Great Dunmow South ward leaves the numbers of electors in each proposed new ward very close to the required number of electors with no apparent need to revise the boundary between the two wards.</p> <p>Note also the proposal to create a new parish ward of Little Easton consisting of the Woodlands Park sector 4 development site and to transfer this area to Great Dunmow North. The Woodlands Park development has been underway</p>
-------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Great Dunmow North cont.						<p>for a number of years already and is expected to continue for several more years. There are forecast to be a total of 476 further houses built on this site by 2018, including those in sector 4.</p> <p>Woodlands Park essentially is part of the community of Great Dunmow and it is considered an accidental consequence of the alignment of historic boundaries that sector 4 is included mostly within Little Easton. There is a proposal to build a new road to the west of Great Dunmow linking Woodlands Park and villages to the north with the A120.</p>
Great Dunmow South	3	Barnston Great Dunmow South Total Variance	773 4019 4792 +0	844 4820 5664 +6	Add the parish of Barnston to Great Dunmow South ward.	Refer to the detailed explanation for this proposal included in the comments relating to Great Dunmow North ward above.
Stebbing	1	Felsted East Little Dunmow Stebbing Total Variance	466 253 1057 1776 +11	478 259 1185 1922 +8	<p>Move the parish of Lindsell to Thaxted and the Eastons ward (see earlier comments).</p> <p>Transfer the parish of Little Dunmow to this ward.</p> <p>Transfer the eastern part of Felsted parish from Felsted</p>	The growth of the area now known as Flitch Green has had the effect of expanding the electorate of Felsted ward to the extent that it has a variance of +43% on current electorate figures (based on the present 44 member scheme).

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Stebbing cont.					<p>ward. There is already a polling district of Felsted East consisting of 399 electors on 2018 figures.</p> <p>However, to make this proposal work in terms of boundaries, it will be necessary to transfer 79 electors at Braintree Road and Gransmore Green from the Felsted West polling district area to Felsted East. The proposal would not otherwise work as the component parts of the proposed ward would be detached from one another.</p>	<p>Flitch Green is located between the villages of Little Dunmow and Felsted and was formerly part of the parish of Little Dunmow. Although geographically small, Flitch Green has 1365 electors and was created as a separate parish by order on 1 April 2009.</p> <p>The population changes in this south-eastern corner of the district have the inevitable consequence that significant changes are needed to ward boundaries.</p> <p>Stebbing ward is proposed to lose the parish of Lindsell to a revised Thaxted and the Eastons ward (see comments above). It is proposed to transfer the parish of Little Dunmow to this ward. Even with this adjustment there are insufficient electors to form a revised ward.</p> <p>There are too many electors in Felsted and Flitch Green to form a two member ward (+10 on 2018 figures). The geographical nature of the tightly packed development area of Flitch Green makes it impracticable to divide the parish in</p>
----------------	--	--	--	--	---	---

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Stebbing cont.						any way so the only remaining option is to divide the parish of Felsted into different wards (as explained further below).
Felsted and Flitch Green	2	Felsted West Flitch Green Total Variance	1958 1365 3323 +4	2021 1406 3427 -3	Transfer the parish of Little Dunmow and the eastern part of Felsted parish to Stebbing ward (see proposals described above). See also comments above regarding changes between the Felsted East and West polling districts.	The changes proposed to Stebbing ward (see above) means that the parish of Felsted will be divided into East and West parish wards. The boundary between the wards is proposed to be very similar to the existing polling district division but adjustments will be needed to provide a workable option in terms of ward boundary definition. To achieve this it is proposed to move 79 electors at Braintree Road and Gransmore Green from Felsted West to Felsted East. In dividing Felsted parish it seems sensible to use the main settlements of Felsted village and Bannister Green in the core of the village as the basis of the new ward. This would leave the smaller and more scattered communities in the east of the parish, such as Bartholomew Green and Willows Green, to be attached to the adjoining Stebbing ward.

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

High Easter and the Rodings	1	Aythorpe Roding High Easter High Roding Leaden Roding Margaret Roding Total Variance	164 610 382 465 140 1761 +10	173 663 395 485 144 1860 +5	Add High Easter parish to the existing Rodings ward and move White Roding to a revised Hatfield Heath ward.	The reconfiguration of the wards in Great Dunmow has the effect that High Easter must be attached to some or all of the parishes in the existing Rodings ward. This makes sense in terms of community identities as High Easter is located tight to the district border with Chelmsford and connected to a network of rural roads leading to Aythorpe, High and Leaden Roding.
Hatfield Heath	1	Hatfield Heath White Roding Total Variance	1442 257 1699 +6	1563 270 1833 +3	Add the parish of White Roding to the existing ward.	<p>Hatfield Heath has insufficient electors to form a new ward and the numbers must be boosted from elsewhere.</p> <p>The proposed solution is to add electors from the parish of White Roding. This proposal will retain four of the five villages that make up the Rodings group together in a revised ward (see under High Easter and the Rodings above). White Roding is located along the A1060 road and therefore has a direct link with Hatfield Heath.</p> <p>The Council considered another option to link Hatfield Heath with Great and Little Hallingbury in a two member ward. The proposed</p>

APPENDIX H – REVISED PROPOSALS FOR A 39 MEMBER SCHEME

Hatfield Heath cont.						arrangement was preferred as it better preserves existing ward links.
Broad Oak and the Hallingburys	2	Great Canfield Great Hallingbury Hatfield Broad Oak Little Hallingbury Total Variance	334 602 873 1258 3067 -4	353 658 947 1366 3324 -6	Add the parish of Great Canfield to the existing Broad Oak and the Hallingburys ward from Takeley and the Canfields. Delete the Bush End area of the parish of Hatfield Broad Oak and transfer to the proposed new Takeley ward. Separate parish wards already exist in Hatfield Broad Oak parish and so there is no need to create new parish ward arrangements. It is proposed that the ward boundary should follow the existing parish ward boundary as far as possible.	As stated above, the Council originally considered another option which would have linked Hatfield Heath with the Hallingburys. There would then have been a ward linking Hatfield Broad Oak and Bush End with Great Canfield and High Roding, with the remaining Rodings linked together with High Easter. The proposed solution is considered to be more harmonious as it keeps more closely to existing parish warding links. However, please note the intention in the present warding proposals to transfer the Bush End area to Takeley ward. This is considered to be in keeping with community values as Bush End closely adjoins part of Takeley village.
Totals	39 10x1 10x2 3x3		62335	69201		